

School “drug test is included in tuition” vs written drug-test payor fork

Force written **who pays for pre-enrollment / random drug tests** when the pitch says the drug test is included in tuition. Invents no test fees. Pairs skills-insurance who + deposit receipt. Independent — not FMCSA. **Not legal advice.**

- **Order:** included-in-tuition pitch → written payor → this fork → deposit.
- “Included” is not proof until the payor line is written.

Drug-test payor blanks

- ☐ Pitch says drug test included in tuition / covered by school? ☐ Yes · phrase _____ ☐ No
- ☐ Written who pays pre-enrollment drug test? ☐ School · doc _____ ☐ Trainee · doc _____ ☐ Unclear — hold
- ☐ Written who pays random / follow-up tests? ☐ School · doc _____ ☐ Trainee · doc _____ ☐ Unclear — hold
- ☐ Any fee dollar from *their* writing only? ☐ Yes · source _____ ☐ None — invent none
- ☐ Pair [skills insurance who](#) · [deposit receipt](#)
- ☐ Decision: ☐ Proceed ☐ Hold money ☐ Walk · Notes: _____

Refuse if...

- School won't name the payor for pre-enrollment and random tests in writing.
- “Included in tuition” is the only answer and the receipt line is silent.