

“ELD / logging training is already inside your ELDT certificate” vs ELD ≠ ELDT content strip

Force written **whether ELD / hours-of-service content is part of their ELDT provider curriculum** when the pitch equates ELD training with the ELDT certificate. Invents no ELDT hour rules. Pairs ELDT theory-only trap + ELDT provider split. Independent — not FMCSA. **Not legal advice.**

- **Order:** ELD-inside-ELDT pitch → written curriculum scope → this strip → deposit.
- ELDT certificate ≠ ELD / logging training unless their writing scopes it.

ELD ≠ ELDT content blanks

- ☐ Pitch says ELD / logging training is already inside ELDT certificate? ☐ Yes · phrase _____ ☐ No
- ☐ Written curriculum lists ELD / HOS content in their ELDT provider path? ☐ Yes · doc _____ ☐ Separate / silent — hold ☐ Oral only
- ☐ Any ELDT hour / content rule from *their* writing only? ☐ Yes · source _____ ☐ None — invent none
- ☐ Pitch matches written curriculum scope? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Pair [ELDT theory-only trap](#) · [ELDT provider split](#)
- ☐ Decision: ☐ Proceed ☐ Hold money ☐ Walk · Notes:

Refuse if...

- School won't put ELD / HOS content scope in writing before deposit.
- “It's in your ELDT” is the only answer and curriculum is silent.