

ELDT theory provider vs behind-the-wheel provider split

Blanks when the **theory vendor** ≠ **BTW school** on the quote — force both registry names in writing. Pairs ELDT theory-only trap + entry-upgrade. Invents no hours. Independent — not FMCSA. **Not legal advice.**

- **Order:** written quote → this split → [theory-only trap](#) → entry-upgrade.
- One school logo does not prove one TPR listing for theory and BTW.

Provider blanks

- ☐ Theory provider named on quote: _____
- ☐ Behind-the-wheel provider named on quote: _____
- ☐ Same legal name / TPR listing? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Registry / listing print date for theory: _____ · for BTW: _____
- ☐ Pitch matches both written provider names? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unclear — hold deposit
- ☐ Pair [entry-upgrade](#) if class/endorsement path unclear

Refuse if...

- Quote won't name theory and BTW providers separately when they differ.
- Marketing implies one school but refunds point at a different theory vendor.

Decision: ☐ Proceed ☐ Hold money ☐ Walk · Notes: _____

Independent — not affiliated with FMCSA or any state DMV. Free to print. Not legal advice. Invents no hours. verifiedcdlschools.com/tools/eldt-provider-split/