

“Passenger endorsement skills double as school-bus skills” vs P-skills≠S-skills fork

Force written **whether S needs a separate skills path** when the pitch collapses Passenger skills into school-bus skills. Invents no endorsement skills rules. Pairs P vs S endorsement + school-bus route trap. Independent — not FMCSA. **Not legal advice.**

- **Order:** P-skills-double-as-S pitch → written skills path → this fork → deposit.
- P skills ≠ S skills unless their writing scopes both.

P-skills ≠ S-skills blanks

- ☐ Pitch says Passenger endorsement skills double as school-bus skills? ☐ Yes · phrase _____ ☐ No
- ☐ Written whether S needs a separate skills path? ☐ Separate S skills · doc _____ ☐ P doubles · doc _____ ☐ Unclear — hold
- ☐ Any endorsement skills rule from *their* writing only? ☐ Yes · source _____ ☐ None — invent none
- ☐ Pitch matches written skills path? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Pair [P vs S endorsement](#) · [school-bus route trap](#)
- ☐ Decision: ☐ Proceed ☐ Hold money ☐ Walk · Notes: _____

Refuse if...

- School won't put S skills path (separate or not) in writing before deposit.
- “P skills cover school bus” is the only answer and S skills language is silent.