

“Refund if you fail skills twice” vs written refund-trigger detective

Force a copy of the **refund clause that names skills-fail as a trigger** (or its absence) before believing a fail-twice refund pitch. Invents no refund percentages. Pairs bond / surety filing + skills cancel fee. Independent — not FMCSA. **Not legal advice.**

- **Order:** refund clause copy → skills-fail trigger check → this detective → deposit.
- Oral “we refund if you fail twice” ≠ a written trigger.

Refund-trigger blanks

- ☐ Pitch says refund if you fail skills twice (or similar)? ☐ Yes · phrase _____
☐ No
- ☐ Written refund clause in hand? ☐ Yes · doc _____ ☐ Mixed / unclear ☐
Oral only
- ☐ Clause names skills-fail as a trigger? ☐ Yes · quote _____ ☐ No · invent
none ☐ Unclear — hold
- ☐ Any % / dollar from *their* writing only? ☐ Yes · source _____ ☐ None —
invent none
- ☐ Pair [bond / surety filing](#) · [skills cancel fee](#)
- ☐ Decision: ☐ Proceed ☐ Hold money ☐ Walk · Notes:

Refuse if...

- School won't produce a refund clause before deposit.
- Fail-twice refund is oral only and the written clause is silent.